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No limits on self-defense

This McDonald's cashier is a hero, not a criminal

"A McDonald’s cashier jailed for beating two irate customers with a metal rod says he was only trying to defend himself. Rayon McIntosh was arrested Oct. 13 after a wild fight inside a McDonald’s in the Greenwich Village neighborhood in Manhattan. Video recorded by a customer showed two furious women vaulting a counter to attack McIntosh after some sort of dispute. McIntosh grabbed a metal bar and fought back with savage force, continuing to deliver crushing blows even after the women were incapacitated on the floor."

Good for him. I wouldn't have any problem with his actions if he had killed both women after they were "incapacitated".

VOX, FROM DICTIONARY.COM, CHRISTIAN

"a person who exemplifies in his or her life the teachings of Christ."

VOX, I JUST CAN'T PICTURE JESUS BEATING THOSE WOMEN WITH A CROWBAR AFTER THEY WERE DOWN ON THE FLOOR. BUT AFTER READING YOUR COMMENT, I HAVE NO TROUBLE PICTURING YOU DELIVERING POWERFUL BLOWS TO THEIR HEADS AND OTHER VITAL AREAS AS THEY LIE INCAPACITATED ON THE FLOOR.

YOU KNOW VOX, WHEREVER ONE GOES ON EARTH, THE MOST UN-JESUS-LIKE PEOPLE YOU WILL EVER FIND, ALWAYS TURN OUT TO BE ... CHRISTIANS.

AND VOX, *YOU* ARE THE PROOF.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Pagan worship primarily concerns propitiation. In other words, they are religions based on pure fear rather than repentance, contract, or submission.

ONCE AGAIN VOX, YOU DISPLAY YOUR COMPLETE IGNORANCE OF YOUR OWN SCRIPTURES WHICH MAKES ME WONDER IF YOU'VE EVER ACTUALLY OPENED A BIBLE. HERE'S A LINK TO A CHRISTIAN WEB SITE LISTING OVER 100 VERSES ... BASED ON FEAR: http://www.feargod.net/verses.php

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

A mistaken assumption

I've noticed that some people are operating under the mistaken impression that I have to answer anyone's questions.

NOT ME VOX. IN FACT, I DON'T THINK YOU CAN ANSWER MOST QUESTIONS; AND WHEN YOU TRY, YOU USUALLY GET IT WRONG.

Let me make it perfectly clear for everyone. I don't have to do anything. And, as I have already made clear in Rule 14, even if I do choose to answer a question or two, I'm not inclined to devote inordinate effort in explaining anything to the egregiously slow, the willfully or terminally stupid, or to permit any visitor here to assume a prosecutorial role.

VOX, "EGREGIOUSLY SLOW" AND "WILLFULLY OR TERMINALLY STUPID?" THOSE ARE INTERESTING INSULTS COMING FROM AN ADULT, WHO NOT ONLY BELIEVES IN, BUT ACTUALLY WORSHIPS ... 3 MAGIC GHOSTS.

In fact, the more one attempts the latter, the less likely it is that I will even read one's comments.

FINE WITH ME VOX. MOST OF MY COMMENTS AREN'T FOR GHOST WORSHIPPERS ANYWAY.

Substantive criticism is not only fine, it is welcome. Acting officious or posturing as if you have any authority whatsoever is not.

VOX, I DON'T CARE MUCH FOR AUTHORITY - THAT'S WHY I'M AN ATHEIST. YOU ON THE OTHER HAND, PROSTRATE YOURSELF FLATTER THAN ROADKILL, FOR A SPIRIT ... WHO ISN'T EVEN THERE.

Rule 14: It is my intention to give individual commenters up to three opportunities per post to criticize what I have posted there. Since I do not have any interest whatsoever in wasting time on futile attempts to explain things to the willfully obtuse, the intellectually underpowered, or the disingenuous, I will cease to engage with a commenter after he has committed three demonstrable errors of fact or logic in that comment thread.

VOX, IT'S A GOOD THING YOU DON'T HOLD YOURSELF TO RULE 14, OR YOU WOULD HAVE TO HIRE A GHOSTWRITER.

While I will identify those errors, I am not inclined to be drawn into tangential discussions of them. Attempts to claim that my refusal to further engage with a commenter whose arguments have repeatedly been demonstrated to be flawed are the result of cowardice or an inability to respond are false and will be deleted.

VOX, YOU REALLY NEED TO PUNCTUATE THAT RUN-ON SENTENCE, OR HIRE SOMEONE TO DO IT FOR YOU. CHRISTIANS AND GRAMMAR ARE LIKE CHIMPS WITH INSTRUCTION MANUALS.

What some tend to forget is that thousands of people come here to learn what I have to think.

VOX, I THINK THAT IS WHAT TICKS ME OFF THE MOST: THE MASSES FEED AT THE TROUGH OF IGNORANCE. THEY DON'T POSSESS THE CRITICAL THINKING SKILLS NECESSARY TO TELL THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN STEAK AND HAMBURGER; OR IN YOUR CASE, HAMBURGER TEEMING WITH MAGGOTS.

VOX, THOUSANDS OF PEOPLE FILL CHURCH PEWS EVERY WEEK TO LISTEN TO UTTER NONSENSE. MAKES ME WONDER HOW WE EVER GOT TO BE THE TOP SPECIES ON THIS PLANET.

They don't come here to learn what random critic A or emotionally deranged person B have to say. So, I get a lot of questions directed at me, both in the comments and in my email. I ignore the majority of them because I simply can't answer them all and when I do answer them, I answer most of them in a terse manner. For example, I presently have 27 emails saved because I would like to answer them but haven't found the time to do so yet.

On the other hand, the commenter to whom I direct a question has usually already asked me several questions that were answered. He doesn't have hundreds of people asking him questions and he has no one holding him to account. Furthermore, he does not have an eight-year history of reliably backing up his claims with evidence.

HOLD IT VOX. YOU ARE A GHOST WORSHIPPER WHO DENIES ANY SCIENCE THAT DISPROVES YOUR CULT'S FANTASIES. WHAT CLAIM HAVE YOU EVER BACKED UP WITH EVIDENCE? THE FACT THAT YOU ACTUALLY BELIEVE THAT YOU HAVE, SHOWS JUST HOW POWERFUL DELUSION CAN BE.

This isn't a public school, where the teacher has to devote all her attention to the retards and behaviorally challenged to the detriment of the rest of the classroom.

VOX, THE REST OF YOUR CLASSROOM - THOSE *ARE* THE RETARDS.

And on a tangential note, many of you need to stop using the term "logical fallacy" until you learn your logical fallacies.

VERY TRUE VOX, BUT THE DREADED ILK STUDY THE LOGICAL FALLACIES FOR ONLY ONE REASON: TO LEARN HOW TO DEFEND THEMSELVES AGAINST ACCUSATIONS, THAT POINT OUT THE FALLACIES THEY USE, AS THE FOUNDATION FOR THEIR ARGUMENTS.

It is readily apparent that many of you do not know what is and what is not a logical fallacy.

VOX, IF YOU LISTENED TO MY LAST FEW SHOWS, YOU WOULD REALIZE JUST HOW MUCH OF AN UNDERSTATEMENT THAT WAS. HOWEVER IN THEIR DEFENSE, THEY ARE LEARNING FROM THE BEST.

Wikipedia has a list of logical fallacies.

BAD MOVE VOX. NEXT TO ACTUALLY READING THE BIBLE, DIRECTING THEM TO THE LOGICAL FALLACIES IS THE BEST WAY TO CONVERT THEM TO INTO ATHEISTS.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

The situation reminds me somewhat of when my father was being tried in federal court.

VOX, WHY DOES THAT NOT SURPRISE ME?

Virtually none of his peers, including a number of his friends, were willing to step forward and testify on behalf of his character.

VOX, THAT SHOULD BE A BIG HINT.

No one was being asked to lie or spin anything, merely to recount their personal experience of a man who had paid their salaries, given to their charities, hosted them in his home, or eaten at their table over the course of thirty years.

VOX, IF PEOPLE WHO HAVE RECEIVED SALARIES, MONEY FOR THEIR CHARITIES, AND HAVE BEEN TO HIS HOME TO SHARE MEALS WON'T EVEN STEP FORWARD, MAYBE YOU SHOULD ASK YOURSELF, "WHY?"

PERHAPS THEY WEREN'T WILLING TO TRADE IN THEIR INTEGRITY IN RETURN FOR ALL THE BENEFITS THAT CAME FROM KNOWING YOUR FATHER.

They didn't have any substantive reason not to do so,

WELL VOX, APPARENTLY THEY DID ... BECAUSE ... THEY DIDN'T.

but were simply afraid of the social consequences of stepping forward and saying "this man may be guilty of what he is accused, but because that is not the entirety of who he is, let me tell you what I know about him."

SO VOX, BY YOUR OWN ADMISSION, DAD WAS A CROOK; AND YOU'RE UPSET BECAUSE HIS FRIENDS WOULDN'T SELL OUT THEIR INTEGRITY?

I was extremely proud of my friends, several of whom volunteered to testify on my father's behalf without even being asked to do so.

VOX, I DON'T THINK *YOUR* FRIENDS ARE THE ISSUE. I THINK IT IS *HIS* FRIENDS THAT ARE THE ISSUE. NEXT TIME YOU GO UP BEFORE A FEDERAL JUDGE VOX, THEN YOU CAN BRING YOUR FRIENDS INTO IT.

I wasn't angry, only disappointed with those who hid behind the rationale of "not wanting to get involved".

VOX, MAYBE THEY VALUED INTEGRITY MORE THAN TRYING TO PROVIDE EXCUSES FOR A CROOK; EVEN ONE WHO HAD THEM OVER FOR DINNER.

AND VOX, THE PERIOD GOES INSIDE THE FINAL QUOTES.

What a lame excuse that is, as if anyone would ever seek to get involved in such unpleasantries.

THAT'S RIGHT VOX. IN FACT, IT'S SO LAME THAT YOU SHOULD HAVE PICKED UP ON THE FACT THAT IT PROBABLY WASN'T THE REAL REASON THEY AVOIDED GOING INTO FEDERAL COURT. VOX, IT'S KIND OF AMAZING HOW YOU AND REALITY NEVER SEEM TO CROSS PATHS ... EVEN BY ACCIDENT.

But even though I was disappointed, at no point did I lose any affection or respect for people I had known nearly all my life. It would have been outrageous for me to judge them on the sole basis of a single moment where their moral courage failed them.

VOX, FOR ONCE IN YOUR LIFE - FACE THE TRUTH, IT WAS THEIR MORAL COURAGE THAT PREVENTED THEM FROM DOING THE WRONG THING. THAT IS ANYTHING BUT A FAILURE.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Hubris on the part of the highly intelligent is particularly stupid because it reflects both ignorance and a lack of self-awareness. For example, I am in the top one percent of the top one percent when it comes to intelligence,

VOX, THE BASIC MISTAKE YOU JUST MADE WAS TO EQUATE I.Q. WITH INTELLIGENCE. IF YOUR I.Q. CLAIMS ARE TRUE, THEN YOU YOURSELF ARE PROOF ... THAT THEY ARE NOT THE SAME THING.

and yet I could provide you with an encyclopedia of my mistakes, failures, and errors in judgment.

VOX, MAKE SURE YOU ADD TO THE LIST, THE ONE I JUST POINTED OUT.

I doubt that I have made any fewer of them than the average individual, but they do tend to be of a different order.

YES VOX, WE KNOW, THE MISTAKES OF OTHERS RESULT FROM STUPIDITY, YOURS FROM AN EXCESS OF INTELLIGENCE.

As one of this society's brightest,

(YES SPORTS FANS - HE REALLY DID SAY THAT).

I can attest that the members of a society's intellectual elite

(OKAY, I'M COUNTING TO TEN, SLOWLY).

are no more likely to merit being described as its best than the average individual and they possess no more intrinsic right to rule by virtue of their intelligence than the obese elite do by virtue of their weight.

VOX, YOU'LL HAVE TO FORGIVE ME, AFTER ALL, I'M JUST A SIMPLE CAVE MAN, BUT I DON'T SEE HOW ANYONE, WHO NOT ONLY BELIEVES IN, BUT ACTUALLY HAS CONVERSATIONS WITH A MENAGERIE OF INVISIBLE FRIENDS COULD BE CONSIDERED AS ONE OF SOCIETY'S BRIGHTEST. IF THAT WERE TRUE ... THEN THE HUMAN RACE IS IN DEEP, DEEP SHIT.

BASED ON YOUR CLAIM OF BEING IN THE TOP 1% OF THE TOP 1%, I'M GUESSING THAT YOUR I.Q. IS SOMEWHERE AROUND 155. THAT MEANS AT AGE 10 YOU SCORED A LITTLE BETTER THAN A 15-YEAR-OLD. MOST PEOPLE DON'T LET I.Q. RESULTS GO TO THEIR HEAD. OTHERS, LIKE YOU, USE IT AS A BADGE OF SUPERIORITY. APPARENTLY THIS FEELING IS SOMETHING YOU FEEL YOU NEED. ONCE EGO HAS TAKEN CONTROL OF YOUR BRAIN VOX, THE GAME IS OVER. YOUR EGO WILL NEVER ALLOW YOU TO ADMIT THAT YOUR CONCLUSIONS COULD POSSIBLY BE WRONG. NO MATTER HOW MANY TIMES SCIENCE DESTROYS YOUR RELIGIOUS BELIEFS, YOU WILL ALWAYS FIND EXCUSES TO DENY REALITY. THE WORLD IS SIMPLY THE WAY YOU WISH IT TO BE. YOU MAY POSSESS THE HIGH I.Q. THAT YOU CLAIM TO HAVE, BUT VOX, YOU HAVE THE MIND OF A CHILD, IN AN ADULT'S BODY.

AND VOX, REGARDING I.Q. TESTS: THEY CONTAIN NOTHING ABOVE THE LEVEL OF INTRODUCTORY ALGEBRA AND GEOMETRY; THEY TEST BASIC VOCABULARY BUT NOT THE MORE ADVANCED ABILITY REQUIRED TO USE LANGUAGE TO EXPRESS THOUGHTS (AS DEMONSTRATED BY WRITING ABILITY); AND THEY TEST THE ABILITY TO CHOOSE WHICH FIGURE COMES NEXT IN A SERIES: BFD.

BUT UNDOUBTEDLY, THE BEST EVIDENCE FOR THE OVERVALUATION OF I.Q. TESTS VOX ... ARE PEOPLE LIKE YOU.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

I find it amusing that you're still desperately trying to justify your cowardice, my chubby little atheist friend.

VOX, SINCE YOU REFUSE TO RESPOND TO MY EMAILS I COULD SAY THE SAME THING TO YOU, ONLY, INSTEAD OF "CHUBBY LITTLE ATHEIST FRIEND" I WOULD SUBSTITUTE "BALDING LITTLE GHOST WORSHIPPER."

And there is one small problem with your attempt to utilize what shall henceforth be known as "the Dawkins Defense".

VOX, WHEN ARE YOU GOING TO LEARN THAT THE ENDING PERIOD GOES INSIDE THE FINAL QUOTES? LOOK AT THE EXAMPLE YOU ARE SETTING FOR THE DREADED ILK. NO WONDER THEY SEEM SO ILLITERATE.

I am more famous and successful than you are. You're a professor at a community college. I've assisted Sam Harris on his most significant neuroscience project, interviewed John Julius Norwich and Umberto Eco, worked with most of the top entertainment companies, written four Billboard-charting singles, and published seven more books than you. My readership is bigger. One can even reasonably argue that my contribution to science exceeds anything you have done now that my hypothesis concerning atheism being a form of autism has been supported by the research being performed at Boston University, to say nothing of my modification of the core mechanism underlying the operation of the Austrian business cycle.

So, how on Earth is debating you going to help my resume?

VOX, HERE IS A DEFINITION FROM DICTIONARY.COM, MEGALOMANIA:

Psychiatry: a symptom of mental illness marked by delusions of greatness, wealth, etc.

AND FROM WEBSTER'S ONLINE DICTIONARY, MEGALOMANIA:

A delusional mental disorder that is marked by feelings of personal omnipotence and grandeur.

VOX, IT'S ALMOST LIKE THEY USED YOU AS THE MODEL FOR THIS WORD. THEY COULD HAVE SAVED SPACE, AND JUST INSERTED A THUMBNAIL OF YOU IN YOUR CAPE.

I ALSO HAVE A COMMENT ABOUT YOUR CLAIM THAT ATHEISM IS A FORM OF AUTISM. ATHEISM IS A REJECTION OF THE CLAIMS OF RELIGION (SEE ANY DICTIONARY YOU WANT). THAT IS ALL IT IS - PERIOD. IT DOES NOT IMPLY EVOLUTION, THE BIG BANG, OR ANYTHING OTHER THAN THE REJECTION OF RELIGIOUS CLAIMS.

NOW LET'S LOOK AT THE DEFINITION FOR AUTISM FROM DICTIONARY.COM:

1. Psychiatry: a pervasive developmental disorder of children, characterized by impaired communication, excessive rigidity, and emotional detachment.

2. A tendency to view life in terms of one's own needs and desires.

VOX, LACK OF BELIEF IN INVISIBLE BUDDIES IS NOT AN ELEMENT. AND TRYING TO CLAIM THAT THESE TRAITS ARE MORE PREVALENT IN ATHEISTS IS AS VALID AS SHOWING THEY ARE MORE PREVALENT IN WOMEN, OR HINDUS, OR PEOPLE WITH BROWN HAIR.

UNCOMMON DESCENT

A BLOG THAT SERVES THE INTELLIGENT DESIGN COMMUNITY

COMMENTER ASKS DAVE SCOTT (COMMENT MODERATOR): "Given your obvious mastery of the finer aspects of gravitational physics, would you care to share with us your credentials and/or background in the field?"

TO WHICH DAVE SCOTT MODESTLY REPLIES:

Certainly. I’m an autodidact with a certified IQ north of 150 (MGCT and SAT tests). I had a college level vocabulary at 9 years of age and was reading everything about science I could get my hands on starting a few years before that. I’ve continued on that course for over 40 years. In my spare time I became a computer design engineer and self-made millionaire. I quit my day job after making my third million (about 6 years ago) so I can concentrate on fun subjects like science, politics, and religion. So basically all the scientific discovery of the last 40 years important enough to make it into the pages of Scientific American I read about at the time it was discovered. For the last 13 years though I’ve had a broadband connection to the internet and my sources expanded exponentially. For the last 6 years I haven’t been burdened with being a computer whiz kid and my time to learn new things has expanded not exponentially but at least doubled or trebled.

Any more questions?

DAVE, SO THE ANSWER TO THE COMMENTER'S QUESTION REGARDING YOUR CREDENTIALS IS: YOU HAVE NONE. YOU'RE JUST A GUY WHO THINKS HE'S SMARTER THAN EVERYONE ELSE. YOU KNOW DAVE, YOU REMIND ME OF SOMEONE. GIVE ME A SECOND AND IT'LL COME TO ME.

THEN DAVE SCOTT MAKES A COMMENT ON SOMETHING POSTED BY SOMEONE ELSE: "Oh goody, the dopey little contributors at ATBC now proclaim that SAT scores don’t measure IQ. How can computer literate people make bogus claims like that when it’s so easy to do a little fact checking and they can be shown to be idiots making things up out of thin air so easily? It boggles my 99.97th percentile mind.

Almost all the High IQ societies accept SAT scores to meet entrance requirements. My SAT score was 1480 in 1978. I took it during my last few months in the Marine Corps after having been away from school for 4 years (except for a few business classes at Pepperdine). I can’t quite make it into the best of the best as my test score is only in the 99.97th percentile while societies like Prometheus and a few others require 99.99 or better scores. And the reason I say this isn’t to brag. It’s to provoke antagonists into heated response and it works like a charm. I play them like a fiddle."

VOX, JUST OUT OF CURIOSITY, BY ANY CHANCE WERE YOU SEPARATED AT BIRTH FROM A SIAMESE TWIN? I ASK BECAUSE THIS GUY'S MIND, AND YOURS, COULDN'T BE MORE ALIKE; TWO GUYS, WHOSE ARGUMENTS BASICALLY REST ON AN ANCIENT HOLY BOOK AND THE APPEAL TO I.Q.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

THE SCIENCE SEGMENT

RESEARCH SHEDS LIGHT ON HOW ANIMAL LIFE FIRST EVOLVED TO COLONIZE LAND

A NEW STUDY HAS FOUND THAT ONE OF THE WORLD'S STRANGEST ANIMALS -- A UNIQUE FISH THAT LIVES ON LAND AND CAN LEAP LARGE DISTANCES DESPITE HAVING NO LEGS -- HAS A RICH AND COMPLEX SOCIAL LIFE.

THE ODD LIFESTYLE OF THE PACIFIC LEAPING BLENNY HAS BEEN DETAILED FOR THE FIRST TIME IN RESEARCH FINDINGS THAT THROW NEW LIGHT ON HOW ANIMAL LIFE FIRST EVOLVED TO COLONIZE THE LAND.

THE PACIFIC LEAPING BLENNY IS A MARINE FISH YET IS TERRESTRIAL IN ALL ASPECTS OF ITS DAILY ADULT LIFE, EKING OUT A PRECARIOUS EXISTENCE IN THE INTERTIDAL ZONE OF ROCKY SHORES IN MICRONESIA.

THIS REMARKABLE LITTLE FISH SEEMS TO HAVE MADE A HIGHLY SUCCESSFUL TRANSITION ACROSS THE WATER-LAND INTERFACE, ALTHOUGH IT STILL NEEDS TO STAY MOIST TO ENABLE IT TO BREATHE THROUGH ITS GILLS AND SKIN.

THE STUDY SHOWED THAT LIFE ON LAND FOR A MARINE FISH IS HEAVILY DEPENDENT ON TIDE AND TEMPERATURE FLUCTUATIONS, SO MUCH SO THAT ALMOST ALL ACTIVITY IS RESTRICTED TO A BRIEF PERIOD AT MID-TIDE, THE TIMING OF WHICH CHANGES DAILY. DURING FIELD STUDY ON GUAM RESEARCHERS NEVER SAW ONE VOLUNTARY RETURN TO THE WATER. INDEED, THEY SPEND MUCH OF THEIR TIME ACTIVELY AVOIDING SUBMERSION BY INCOMING WAVES.

THEY ARE VERY HARD TO CATCH AND ARE EXTREMELY AGILE ON LAND. THEY MOVE QUICKLY OVER COMPLEX ROCKY SURFACES USING A UNIQUE TAIL-TWISTING BEHAVIOUR COMBINED WITH EXPANDED PECTORAL AND TAIL FINS THAT LET THEM CLING TO ALMOST ANY FIRM SURFACE. TO REACH HIGHER GROUND IN A HURRY, THEY CAN ALSO TWIST THEIR BODIES AND FLICK THEIR TAILS TO LEAP MANY TIMES THEIR OWN BODY LENGTH.

ADULT BLENNIES SHELTER IN ROCK CREVICES AT HIGH AND LOW TIDE, EMERGING AT MID-TIDE TO FEED, BREED AND SOCIALIZE IN SURPRISINGLY COMPLEX WAYS -- GIVEN THEIR BRIEF WINDOW OF OPPORTUNITY.

THE RESEARCHERS DISCOVERED THAT MALES ARE TERRITORIAL AND USE COMPLEX VISUAL DISPLAYS TO WARN OFF RIVALS AND ATTRACT MATES. FEMALES WERE SEEN AGGRESSIVELY DEFENDING FEEDING TERRITORY AT THE START OF THEIR BREEDING SEASON, WHILE MALES DISPLAYED A RED-COLOURED FIN AND NODDED THEIR HEADS VIGOROUSLY TO ATTRACT FEMALES TO THEIR CLOSELY DEFENDED ROCK HOLES. THE TEAM FILMED FEMALES INSPECTING THESE HOLES BEFORE ENTERING WITH A CHOSEN MATE.

LITTLE IS KNOWN OF THEIR BREEDING, AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE YOUNG, BUT IT SEEMS THAT FEMALES LAY THEIR EGGS IN A CHOSEN ROCK HOLE THEN PLAY NO FURTHER ROLE IN PARENTING, LEAVING THE MALE TO GUARD THE EGGS.

THE PACIFIC LEAPING BLENNY OFFERS A UNIQUE OPPORTUNITY TO DISCOVER IN A LIVING ANIMAL HOW A WATER-LAND TRANSITION HAS TAKEN PLACE.

WE KNOW THAT OUR ANCIENT ANCESTORS EVOLVED ORIGINALLY FROM LOBE-FINNED FISH BUT, TODAY, ALL SUCH FISH ARE FULLY AQUATIC. WITHIN THE BLENNY FAMILY, HOWEVER, ARE SPECIES THAT ARE EITHER HIGHLY TERRESTRIAL, AMPHIBIOUS OR ENTIRELY AQUATIC. REMARKABLY, REPRESENTATIVES OF ALL THESE TYPES CAN BE FOUND ON OR AROUND GUAM, MAKING IT A UNIQUE EVOLUTIONARY LABORATORY.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

FAMOUS QUOTES

DROMEDARY HUMP (PREVIOUSLY QUOTED SO NO BIO).

"AS FOR HAROLD CAMPING? HE HAS NO DIGNITY.

HE HAS PARTIAL USE OF HIS BRAIN,

LOTS OF MONEY,

A MASSIVE DOSE OF PROPHET-ENVY,

A TERMINAL CASE OF RELIGIOSITY,

AND ONE FOOT IN THE GRAVE.

PERHAPS ON OCTOBER 22ND

HAROLD WILL SUMMON JUST ENOUGH DIGNITY

TO LOWER THE OTHER FOOT."